

Southern Nevada Area Communications Council

MEETING MINUTES

Date:

September 23, 2019

Location:

Clark County Fire Department, Station 18

EOC Conference Room, 2nd Floor

575 E. Flamingo Rd Las Vegas, NV 89119

Time:

1:31 P.M.

Board members present:

Jeff Buchanan, Chair

Frank Milligan Isaac Henn

Vincent Albowicz

Chris Vasquez, Vice Chair

Scott Mazick Wendy Lotman

Call to Order

Public Comment: None

Introduction of the Board:

Unless otherwise stated, items may be taken out of the order presented on the agenda, and two or more items may be combined for consideration. The Board may also remove an item from the agenda or delay discussions relating to an item at any time

- Approve the September agenda with the inclusion of tabled and/or reconsidered items, emergency items, and/or deletion of items, and approve the minutes of the August 28th, 2019 meeting. (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION):
 - A motion was made to approve; motion passed unanimously, one abstained.

- 2. Receive the Administrator's Report with the inclusion of the Strategic Plan Updates, SNACC Monthly Budget Report for the month of June and July 2019. (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION):
 - David Goss This contract is for the National Park Service to have access to our radio system and for SNACC to program their Security Radios. Also, to manage the radio dispatch center software updates, patches and diagnostics. We do not do the repair of their radios or any troubleshooting. Under B, we will provide access to partner agencies and system, they have about 30 radios, that we would program all radios, but we will not program more than those security radios. We removed repair and troubleshoot and not program their radios, those were the big changes.
 - Jeff Buchanan Why is it that we do not repair their radios, if you could clarify for the board. Why is it that we do not repair or program their radios? What were the catches that were made that the Board can understand, in other words, during this negotiation process there was originally a contract that was drawn up? After going through the contract with a fine-tooth comb and looking at a little bit more closely had to make sure that some things were tweaked and modified, can you explain that just so the board is aware so they can see what was included and what was excluded?
 - David Goss Again, that was part of what I mentioned earlier, we will not provide maintenance for their radios or their consoles, only software for their consoles. Also, it was in there that we were going to program all their radios, but we will program those 30 radios in their security but no more than that. The whole point of this process was to get an agreement with them and to get the money from previous SUA agreement, it totals \$215,000.
 - Jeff Buchanan I do have two more questions, Dave, if you could tell us this, do any of the other members of SNACC have this kind of sidebar agreement like they do with McIntosh, anybody else have that?
 - Curtis Steadman, Motorola Solutions There are some agencies that service through McIntosh but all of them
 go through Motorola for SUA.
 - Chris Vasquez For the consoles, Federal Government is the only one that uses McIntosh for maintenance of their equipment.
 - Richard Johnson Henderson Fire Department just entered a contract with McIntosh for maintenance of our radios.
 - Jeff Buchanan Thank you copy that, then the second question I have is, does this new agreement put the National Park Service in line with every other member of SNACC? In other words, they are paying for the same thing as year in, year out that the rest of the SNACC members.
 - David Goss It puts their dispatch center in line with their SUA like other SNACC members that have dispatch centers with their SUA.
 - Scott Mazick Dave, when we talk about the 5-year cost moving forward \$215,944.80, \$107,972.40 to catch up and \$107,972.40 for the next 5 years, is there a mechanism in place for this contract? What happens if there is an increase in SUA II fees in that 5 year, is there a mechanism in place to recoup those increases as well?
 - Dave Goss They would be issued an invoice just like anyone adding a console to their dispatch center, which
 would increase their SUA, it would not increase if they don't add equipment. Our SUA remains the same
 throughout our contract with Motorola unless they continue to add equipment.
 - Scott Mazick You mentioned that we would program their security radios, I think we should specify that in the contract that we will handle the 30 radios and no more than that. I would like to see that detailed out as possible.
 - David Goss Okay, we will have to get with them and change the contract. We talked to them quite a while ago about this and discussed with them that we would program their security radios at their security center.
 - Scott Mazick I think that should be documented as part of the agreement.
 - David Goss I will have to get with them and get a new change order for that.
 - Scott Mazick Okay.
 - Jeff Buchanan So let's explore that for a minute, so Steve, if this Board under that recommendation and understandably would have to be re-written, would this Board be able to approve with the amendment noted that Scott is suggesting or would we have to reconvene again, where are we at with that? Or could this Board say he, we agree with that amendment, go forth Dave and fulfill that and can we approve that or would we have to have another special meeting? Can you walk us thru the technical aspects of that?

- Steven Sweikert No, the Board would have to approve in subsequent change order or amendment, the
 documents that are in front of us today have already been approved by the National Park Service so it would be
 a new amendment.
- Jeff Buchanan So we would have to have another special meeting?
- Steven Sweikert It would not have to be another special meeting, it could be a regular meeting. The reason we are having a meeting today is because of the timeline for Motorola.
- Jeff Buchanan Okay, so again let's explore that, I think that that's adding something to the plate here right? So
 that means it's not ratified today, in which case we would need to again look to Motorola. It looks like an essence
 in spirit they are being good partners, The National Park Service. I think that Scott, you're pointing out some
 good details. It makes sense that the details are in writing, right? This is for everybody, this is for the National
 Park Service, this is for us and I think what's most important is that we have this discussion.
- David Goss I read this incorrectly, if you read the change, it is not in there to program their radios. Originally, we had it in there that we would program their radios, but reading this change, it is not in the change order to program their security radios, so we are actually okay.
- Jeff Buchanan So there is no intent to program their radios.
- David Goss It is not in this change order to program their radios.
- Richard Johnson But we are going to program 30 of them anyway?
- Dave Goss No, it is not in this change order to do it.
- Jeff Buchanan -
- Frank Milligan I thought that is what this discussion was about, that's what drove Scott's comment because you said you would program the radios.
- Dave Goss I miss stated from up above that we would do it. But it is not in there to do that.
- Jeff Buchanan Dave if I'm hearing you right, you're saying there is no intent by the agreement to program any of their radios, there is no need to detail. From the Boards perspective this again that this could be a two-way street and I don't think that this board or anyone needs to be receptive about moving it to another meeting. Here's the deal from the National Park Service, they may be under the understanding that you will program the 30 radios, right? So, what we don't want to say is that we can and then all the sudden that you're doing it and that just doesn't jive either.
- David Goss When we discussed with them about 6 years ago, we said we would program their security radios, when they wrote this contract out, they put all their radios.
- Jeff Buchanan Here's what I think, I'm hearing and please, I would like the input of the Board, I'm hearing confusion, the National Park Service might be under the impression that the 30 is still on the table. I think for the protection for both us and the National Park Service let's just put it in there. Our limiting factor, unless I am missing something, and please someone voice their opinion is that we are worried about the timetable and charges and timing on getting this approved.
- David Goss We have time to get this amended.
- Frank Milligan I keep hearing amendment, this has not been approved.
- Chris Vasquez The original contract has been signed, this is an amendment to the contract. This is Change
 Order 1 of the original contract. What was presented today was already approved by the National Park Service.
- Jeff Buchanan We are going to recommend that Dave, you put the recommendations for the second change order and bring it to the October 16th meeting and get this approved.
- Steve Sweikert this could either be trailed to the next meeting or left as it is and put on the next agenda.
- Scott Mazick Are payment milestones or payment dates specified on the contract?
- Chris Vasquez On the original contract that was signed, it states that the \$21,594.40 would be paid yearly for five years. I wish the contract would have been presented for us to review, is there a copy for us to review really quick?
- David Goss I did not bring it with me because it is about 43 pages long, I did not bring it with me.
- Jeff Buchanan I'll add to that, as we look to have this on the next Board meeting, let's have the contract
 included for us to compare. For the next meeting we will do the trail option, with that we will go with having
 the 30 radios detailed and having the original contract in there for us to compare. Tabled for the next SNACC
 meeting.

COMMENTS BY THE GENERAL PUBLIC:

Jeff Buchanan - A quick shout out, thank you, Motorola for being so flexible. We really appreciate your partnership.

NEXT MEETING DATE/ADJOURN:

Next SNACC meeting: The next regular SNACC Board meeting will be held on October 16, 2019 at the Las Vegas Valley Water District.

Meeting adjourned at: 1:50 P.M.

Respectfully submitted:

Jeff Buchanan, Chairman Attachments